

THE HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

N O T I F I C A T I O N

Dated- Agartala, the 8th April, 2017

No. F.6(21)-HC/Vol-III/2016-17/.....7043... Hon'ble the High Court, in response to the Vendors' Queries for eTender vide No. F.6(21)-HC/2016-17/HW-02 dated 29.03.2017, on the subject "Invitation of Bids for Supply, Testing, Installation and Maintenance of Computer Hardware, Display Board Monitors, Printers and Information Kiosks under e-Courts Project (Phase-II)", is pleased to clarify the following list of issues regarding the bidding process of the eTender.

SL. No.	Query/ Suggestion of the bidders	Clarification of the High Court
1	A bidder has requested to make 2% of the total project value as an EMD or any amount less than ₹ 1,50,000/- or equal against bidder document reference 5.1/Sl.02 at page 18. They also mentioned that EMD value of ₹ 300000/- is too high against the project cost.	High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that the request for reducing the EMD value may be brought down to any amount less than ₹ 1,50,000.00 or equal in lieu of ₹ 300000/- has not been considered by the Committee and therefore, the EMD value of the tender remains the same, i.e. ₹ 300000/-.
2	A bidder has informed against bidder document reference 5.1/Sl.03 at page 18, that they have completed five financial years of experience in IT System integrator under companies Act. 1956, but in the tender document it is mentioned that the bidder should have five years of experience. They have requested to consider five financial years as a replacement for five years of experience as per companies act. 1956.	High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that consideration of five financial years as a replacement for five years of experience as per Companies Act, 1956 has not been considered by the Purchase Committee but for giving scope for maximum participation in the bid the said clause is modified and it is reduced to 4 years of experience and it should be counted by months. Therefore, it stands as, the bidder should be registered under Companies Act. 1956 and should be an established leading

		IT System integrator for the last four (04) years instead of five (05) years.
3	The bidder suggested as the bidder must have experience of large turnkey base projects for successful supply, installation and service within the proper time frame and maintenance of atleast 3 IT projects of Rs. 8 Lakhs or average value of three projects Rs. 10 lakhs instead of 10 lakhs minimum value against bidder document reference 5.1/Sl.10 at page 19.	High Court of Tripura has informed that relaxation to have experience for large trunky based project for successful supply, installation and service within the proper time frame and maintenance of atleast 3 IT projects of Rs. 8 Lakhs or average value of three projects Rs. 10 lakhs is not considered by the Purchase Committee and hence, all the bidders must have to produce supporting documents with valid completion certificate of the project with a minimum value of Rs. 10 lakhs.
4	A bidder has requested to make amendment in the Technical Specifications of the Imaging Equipment as minimum 8,000 pages or more per month in Duty Cycle of Duplex Laser Network Printer instead of 10,000 pages per month against bidder document reference Sl.13 at page 50.	High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that Duty Cycle of Duplex Laser Network Printer (Sl. No. 13 at Page No. 50) for 8,000 pages or more per month is not considered by the Purchase Committee and hence no deviation is allowed.
5	The bidder has informed against bidder document reference Sl.6 at page no-51 of Item name : MFD Network Printer, that typographical mistakes has happened in the Technical Part while specifying the MFD Network Printer. It is mentioned in the tender document that the Available Paper Size for Auto Duplex Print is Plain Paper, Heavy Paper, Transparency, Label, Index Card, and Envelope, which are media types not size. They have	High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that the request for making amendment in Sl. 6 at page no. 51 is considered by the Purchase Committee and it may also be read as " Paper Type " and the same may be notified through corrigendum.

	requested for the said amendment.	
6	<p>The bidder has requested to consider against bidder document reference Sl. No.4, page No.-50 in the technical specification of Duplex Laser Network Printer i.e First Print Out Time which is specified as 8 seconds or less in the RFP to 8.5 seconds or less.</p>	<p>High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that to relax the First Print Out Time by 8.5 seconds or less is considered by the Purchase Committee and Vendor be asked to alternatively quote the said specification. Required amendment may be done and the same may be uploaded in notification by furnishing a reply to the concerned Vendor.</p>
7	<p>The bidder has requested to remove Point No. C at Sl. No. 20, Page No.-51 of the RFP (Whether Cartridge Refillable).</p> <p>It has been informed by the firm that no OEM encourages customers to go for non-genuine consumables.</p>	<p>High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that the request to remove the point No. C at Sl. No. 20, page No.- 51 (whether Cartridge refillable) is not considered by the Purchase Committee and hence, bidders have to give the details as per the format provided in the tender document.</p>
8	<p>The bidder has requested to remove point No. C at Sl. No. 9, Page No.51 of the RFP (Whether Cartridge Refillable).</p> <p>It has been informed by the firm that no OEM encourages customers to go for non-genuine consumables.</p>	<p>High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that the request to remove the point No. C at Sl. No. 9, page No.- 51 (Whether Cartridge Refillable) is not considered by the Purchase Committee and hence, bidders have to give the details as per the format provided in the tender document.</p>
9	<p>The bidder has requested to remove a feature at Sl. No.- 21, Page No. 52 of the RFP ("Push Scan").</p> <p>It has been informed by the firm that as the purpose of scanning and sending the documents to PC can be alternately done by the user from their own PC, the combination of push and pull is</p>	<p>High Court of Tripura is pleased to inform that, request for removing the feature at Sl. No.- 21, page No.- 52 (i.e Push Scan) is not considered by the Purchase Committee and hence, no deviation is allowed.</p>

	<p>coming only with one brand and other OEMs are at disadvantage to quote a much higher model in segment 3 which is actually a work horse multi-function printer (MFP) and for high volume user and multiple users. So, going by the current spec and customer may not get the best price benefits VS a feature which may not be critical to the use.</p>	
--	---	--

By order,

8-4-17
 (S. Bhattacharjee)
 Registrar(Admn. P & M)
 High Court of Tripura
Agartala

No. F.6(21)-HC/Vol-III/2016-17/7044-51

Dated- 08-04-2017

Copy forwarded for information to:-

1. The Registrar(Judicial), High Court of Tripura, Agartala.
2. The Principal Secretary to Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Tripura, Agartala.
3. The Registrar(Admn. P & M), High Court of Tripura, Agartala.
4. The Dy.Registrar(Judicial)-cum-CPC, High Court of Tripura, Agartala.
5. Shri Bimal Kanti Biswas (Court Coordinator), Scientist-C, NIC, Tripura State Unit, Agartala.
6. The Secretary to Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. Talapatra, Judge In-charge ICT including eCourts Project, High Court of Tripura, Agartala.
7. The Secretary attached to Registrar General, High Court of Tripura, Agartala.
8. The System Analyst, High Court of Tripura, Agartala. He is requested to do the needful to upload the Notification in the website of the High Court of Tripura, Agartala.

//
 Registrar(Admn. P & M)
 High Court of Tripura
Agartala